Company:	Enbridge	**Report:**	Quarterly LDAR		
District:	Storage-North	**Regulation(s):**	COMAR 26.11.41		
Facility Name:	Accident Storage Field	**Report Date:**	2023-Nov-08		
		Period:	2023-Jul-01	TO	2023-Sep-30

This report satisfies the requirements of COMAR 26.11.41 for the collection of fugitive emissions components at the above referenced compressor station.

Information required to be reported per §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)

Monitoring Quarter	**Q3**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**
Survey Start Date/Time	9/11/2023 14:30				
Survey End Date/Time	Sep 13, 2023 3:30 PM				
LDAR Instrument	Optical Gas Imaging/GFX-320				
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(iii) Deviations from Monitoring Plan	No deviations from the Monitoring Plan				
Deviation(s) Explanation	N/A				
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(iv) - Number and type of components for which fugitive emissions were detected					
Valves	6				
Connectors	8				
Pressure Relief Devices					
Open-Ended Lines					
Flanges					
Compressors					
Instruments					
Meters					
Other					
Total No. of Leaks Detected	14				
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(vii) – Number and type of components that were tagged as a result of not being repaired during the monitoring survey					
Valves	3				
Connectors	4				
Pressure Relief Devices					
Open-Ended Lines					
Flanges					
Compressors					
Instruments					
Meters					
Other					
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(v) - Number and type of difficult-to-monitor and unsafe-to-monitor fugitive emission components monitored					
Valves					
Connectors					
Pressure Relief Devices					
Open-Ended Lines					
Flanges					
Compressors					
Instruments					
Meters					
Other					

§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(ix) - Date of successful repair of the fugitive emission component (see Repair List).

§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(viii) - Number and type of fugitive emission components placed on delay of repair and explanation for each delay of repair (see DOR List).

§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(x) - Type of instrument used to resurvey a repaired fugitive emissions component that could not be repaired during the initial fugitive emissions finding (see Repair List)

LDAR Report

Enbridge

Accident Storage Field

Quarterly Report

COMAR 26.11.41

PERIOD: Q2 2023

Prepared By:

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC

412 Darby Way (Suite 2)

Bridgeville, Pennsylvania 15017

www.montrose-env.com

Report Generated on: 11/8/2023

Fugitive Emissions Components Placed on DOR

This summary satisfies the annual reporting requirements of§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(viii), "number and type of fugitive emission components placed on delay of repair and explanation for each delay of repair".

Component				
Quarter	**Q3**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**
Survey Date	**9/11/2023**			
Valves	3			
Connectors	4			
Pressure Relief Devices				
Open-Ended Lines				
Flanges				
Compressors				
Instruments				
Meters				
Other				
Total No. of Leaks on DOR	7			

Date Surveyed	Emission ID #	Component Type	Current Repair Status	Delay of Repair Explanation / Justification
03/08/2023	32625551	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown required
06/13/2023	35625363	Instrument	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/13/2023	35625367	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/14/2023	35625368	Instrument	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/14/2023	35625369	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/14/2023	35625371	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/14/2023	35625373	Flange	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/14/2023	35625374	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/15/2023	35625375	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/15/2023	35625376	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
06/15/2023	35625378	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/12/2023	98110206	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/12/2023	98110210	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/13/2023	98110211	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/13/2023	98110212	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/13/2023	98110214	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/13/2023	98110216	Valve	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required
09/14/2023	98110218	Connector	Delay of Repair	Shutdown Required

Fugitive Emissions Components Repaired During Reporting Period

This summary satisfies the annual reporting requirements of §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(ix), "date of successful repair of the fugitive emission component" and §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(x), "type of instrument used to resurvey a repaired fugitive emissions component that could not be repaired during the initial fugitive emissions finding".

Date Surveyed	Emission ID #	Date of Successful Repair	Repair Confirmation Method / Instrument
06/13/2023	35625361	9/12/2023	OGI
06/13/2023	35625362	6/13/2023	OGI
06/13/2023	35625364	6/13/2023	OGI
06/13/2023	35625365	6/13/2023	OGI
06/13/2023	35625366	6/13/2023	OGI
06/14/2023	35625370	6/14/2023	OGI
06/14/2023	35625372	6/14/2023	OGI
06/15/2023	35625377	6/15/2023	OGI
09/11/2023	98110205	9/11/2023	OGI
09/12/2023	98110207	9/12/2023	OGI
09/12/2023	98110208	9/12/2023	OGI
09/12/2023	98110209	9/12/2023	OGI
09/13/2023	98110213	9/13/2023	OGI
09/14/2023	98110217	9/14/2023	OGI
09/14/2023	98110219	9/14/2023	OGI

OGI Technician Training and Experience

Monitoring surveys are performed by personnel that are trained in the proper operation of the OGIC (Optical Gas Imaging Camera) to be used in the monitoring survey and that have prior experience using OGICs for the purposes of identifying fugitive emissions. Additionally, monitoring personnel are familiar with the types of equipment located at a natural gas compressor station. All monitoring personnel review each site specific monitoring plan prior to performing monitoring surveys at the Facility.

All Monitoring Technicians follow a protocol containing technical procedures, training requirements, and individual and team performance audits. This protocol ensures that each crew member follows a prescriptive training program. The training program includes minimum required field times for each module. Each module uses both written testing and on-site work performance audits to evaluate the crew member on their work performance.

Each crew member must successfully complete their training modules to be allowed to work as a member of the main field crew. The protocol also includes an audit program to evaluate work performance on an on-going basis. This system ensures that each crew member is adhering to the procedures and guidelines of the protocol.

Each monitoring technician:

1) holds a strong knowledge of oil and gas operations and has a detailed understanding of the various processes that are involved in the transportation and processing on natural gas.
2) is trained (certified) and experienced in the use of fugitive emission detection and measurement equipment;
3) has a minimum of 1000 hours of experience on the use of optical gas imaging, ultrasonic leak detection and emission flow rate measurement
4) maintains required safety training and strong understanding of applicable TARGET Safe Operating Procedures; and
5) received performance audits to ensure compliance to our prescriptive fugitive emission assessment protocol

The protocol contains technical procedures, training requirements, and individual and team performance audits. The purpose of our assessment protocol is to:

1) Maintain a high degree of Quality Control;
2) Ensure that all sources of fugitive emissions are identified;
3) Ensure that all source data is consistently recorded to provide reliable and effective emission reduction recommendations.

This protocol eliminates the common problems and barriers that cause many programs to fail. Our staff are trained and audited to avoid many of the common fugitive emission program problems. Some of these common problems include:

- Inexperienced with camera use and the concepts of infrared thermography
- Not using multiple camera angles
- Constantly moving the camera from scene to scene without pausing in each view to look for gas images
- Many leaks are missed by relying solely on the automatic mode (manual mode can be more effective in certain situations)
- Scanning too fast and missing components

Accurate data collection and entry is crucial to maintaining an effective Fugitive Emission Management Program. The data management protocol includes a data QA/QC review process that contains three levels of evaluation:

1) Technician Self Check – at the end of each assessment the technician must review each emission entry to locate and remediate any data inconsistencies
2) Team Lead Review – at the end of each work day the Team Lead will run a QA/QC evaluation on each assessment and emission to ensure that data has been entered following the TARGET Protocol.
3) Project Manager Evaluation – on a weekly basis the project manager will run all emission data through a QA/QC data evaluation to detect and eliminate any inconsistencies.