

LDAR Report

Enbridge

Accident Storage Field

Quarterly Report COMAR 26.11.41 PERIOD: Q2 2023

Prepared By:

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC

412 Darby Way (Suite 2)

Bridgeville, Pennsylvania 15017

www.montrose-env.com

Report Generated on: 12/18/2023



Company:	Enbridge		Report:	Quarterly LDAR					
District:	Storage-North		Regulation(s):	COMAR 26.11.41					
Facility Name:	Accident Storage Field		Report Date:	2023-Dec-18					
			Period:	2023-Oct-01	TO	2023-Dec-31			
This report satisfie	This report satisfies the requirements of COMAR 26.11.41 for the collection of fugitive emissions components at the above referenced compressor station.								
	Information required to be reported per §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)								
Monitoring	Monitoring Quarter		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Survey Start	Survey Start Date/Time								
Survey End Date/Time		Nov 16, 2023 2:00 PM Optical Gas Imaging/GFX-							
LDAR Ins	LDAR Instrument								
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(iii) Deviations from Monitoring Plan		No deviations from the Monitoring Plan							
Deviation(s) E	Deviation(s) Explanation								
§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(iv) - Number and type of components for which fugitive emissions were detected									
Valv		6							
Connec		5							
	Pressure Relief Devices								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Open-Ended Lines								
Flanges		1							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Compressors								
	Instruments								
	Meters								
	Other								
Total No. of Lea	Total No. of Leaks Detected								
		and type of components	that were tagged as a	result of not being repa	aired during the monito	ring survey			
Valve		1							
Connectors		2							
Pressure Rel									
Open-Ended Lines									
Flanges		1							
Compre									
Instrum	* **								
Mete									
Othe	er								
		per and type of difficult-to	o-monitor and unsafe	to-monitor fugitive emis	ssion components mon	itored			
Valv	**								
Connec									
	Pressure Relief Devices								
Open-Ended Lines									
Flanges									
Compressors									
	Instruments								
Mete									
Othe		11 07 A (1)(a)(ix) - Date of succe							

§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(ix) - Date of successful repair of the fugitive emission component (see Repair List).

§26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(viii) - Number and type of fugitive emission components placed on delay of repair and explanation for each delay of repair (see DOR List).



Fugitive Emissions Components Placed on DOR

This summary satisfies the annual reporting requirements of §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(viii), "number and type of fugitive emission components placed on delay of repair and explanation for each delay of repair".

Component						
Quarter	Q4	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Survey Date	11/14/2023					
Valves						
Connectors						
Pressure Relief Devices						
Open-Ended Lines						
Flanges						
Compressors						
Instruments						
Meters						
Other						
Total No. of Leaks on DOR	0					
Date Surveyed	Emission ID #	Component Type	Current Repair Status	Delay of Repair Explanation / Justification		

DOR LIST Report Generated on: 12/18/2023



Fugitive Emissions Components Repaired During Reporting Period

This summary satisfies the annual reporting requirements of §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(ix), "date of successful repair of the fugitive emission component" and §26.11.41 07 A.(1)(a)(x), "type of instrument used to resurvey a repaired fugitive emissions component that could not be repaired during the initial fugitive emissions finding".

Date Surveyed	Emission ID #	Date of Successful Repair	Repair Confirmation Method / Instrument
11/14/2023	1294100016	11/22/2023	Bubble Test
11/14/2023	1294100017	11/14/2023	OGI
11/14/2023	1294100018	11/14/2023	OGI
11/15/2023	1294100019	11/22/2023	Bubble Test
11/15/2023	1294100020	11/15/2023	OGI
11/15/2023	1294100021	11/15/2023	OGI
11/15/2023	1294100022	11/15/2023	OGI
11/15/2023	1294100023	11/22/2023	Bubble Test
11/16/2023	1294100024	11/16/2023	OGI
11/16/2023	1294100025	11/22/2023	Bubble Test
11/16/2023	1294100026	11/16/2023	OGI
11/16/2023	1294100027	11/16/2023	OGI

Report Generated on: 12/18/2023



OGI Technician Training and Experience

Monitoring surveys are performed by personnel that are trained in the proper operation of the OGIC (Optical Gas Imaging Camera) to be used in the monitoring survey and that have prior experience using OGICs for the purposes of identifying fugitive emissions. Additionally, monitoring personnel are familiar with the types of equipment located at a natural gas compressor station. All monitoring personnel review each site specific monitoring plan prior to performing monitoring surveys at the Facility.

All Monitoring Technicians follow a protocol containing technical procedures, training requirements, and individual and team performance audits. This protocol ensures that each crew member follows a prescriptive training program. The training program includes minimum required field times for each module. Each module uses both written testing and on-site work performance audits to evaluate the crew member on their work performance.

Each crew member must successfully complete their training modules to be allowed to work as a member of the main field crew. The protocol also includes an audit program to evaluate work performance on an on-going basis. This system ensures that each crew member is adhering to the procedures and guidelines of the protocol.

Each monitoring technician:

- 1) holds a strong knowledge of oil and gas operations and has a detailed understanding of the various processes that are involved in the transportation and processing on natural gas.
 - 2) is trained (certified) and experienced in the use of fugitive emission detection and measurement equipment:
- 3) has a minimum of 1000 hours of experience on the use of optical gas imaging, ultrasonic leak detection and emission flow rate measurement
 - 4) maintains required safety training and strong understanding of applicable TARGET Safe Operating Procedures: and
 - 5) received performance audits to ensure compliance to our prescriptive fugitive emission assessment protocol

The protocol contains technical procedures, training requirements, and individual and team performance audits. The purpose of our assessment protocol is to:

- 1) Maintain a high degree of Quality Control;
- 2) Ensure that all sources of fugitive emissions are identified;
- 3) Ensure that all source data is consistently recorded to provide reliable and effective emission reduction recommendations.

This protocol eliminates the common problems and barriers that cause many programs to fail. Our staff are trained and audited to avoid many of the common fugitive emission program problems. Some of these common problems include:

- · Inexperienced with camera use and the concepts of infrared thermography
- Not using multiple camera angles
- · Constantly moving the camera from scene to scene without pausing in each view to look for gas images
- · Many leaks are missed by relying solely on the automatic mode (manual mode can be more effective in certain situations)
- · Scanning too fast and missing components

Accurate data collection and entry is crucial to maintaining an effective Fugitive Emission Management Program. The data management protocol includes a data QA/QC review process that contains three levels of evaluation:

- 1) Technician Self Check at the end of each assessment the technician must review each emission entry to locate and remediate any data inconsistencies
- 2) Team Lead Review at the end of each work day the Team Lead will run a QA/QC evaluation on each assessment and emission to ensure that data has been entered following the TARGET Protocol.
- 3) Project Manager Evaluation on a weekly basis the project manager will run all emission data through a QA/QC data evaluation to detect and eliminate any inconsistencies.